

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
4 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
5

6 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
7 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
8 LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

9
10 **[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING**
11 **PEIFFER WOLF'S MOTIONS TO**
12 **WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD**

This Document Relates to:

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

13 *A.T. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
14 *3:24-cv-05592; and*

15 *K.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
16 *3:25-cv-02650.*

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Having considered Peiffer Wolf's Motions to Withdraw as Counsel of Record (ECF Nos. 4103
3 & 4104), the Court finds that, given that Plaintiffs A.T. and K.B. are subject to impending deadlines
4 under PTO 31, granting withdrawal would cause prejudice to Plaintiffs and Uber, likely delay the
5 progress of this multi-district litigation, and potentially harm the administration of justice.

6 The Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:

- 7 1. Peiffer Wolf's Motions to Withdraw are DENIED.
- 8 2. Peiffer Wolf shall submit, within seven (7) days of this order, a declaration stating:
- 9 a. whether it informed plaintiffs of their specific obligations under PTO 31 and, if so,
10 when it did so; and
- 11 b. how counsel believes plaintiffs can proceed *pro se* to meet the existing PTO 31
12 deadlines without further delaying the progress of this matter.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16 Dated: October 17, 2025

